Question		on	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	(i)		EITHER		
			$S_{xy} = \Sigma xy - \frac{1}{n} \Sigma x \Sigma y = 600.41 - \frac{1}{10} \times 113.69 \times 52.81 = 0.01311$	M1	For method for $S_{xy}$
			$S_{xx} = \Sigma x^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\Sigma x)^2 = 1292.56 - \frac{1}{10} \times 113.69^2 = 0.01839$	M1	For method for at least one of $S_{xx}$ or $S_{yy}$
			$S_{yy} = \Sigma y^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\Sigma y)^2 = 278.91 - \frac{1}{10} \times 52.81^2 = 0.02039$	A1	For at least one of $S_{xy}$ , $S_{xx}$ or $S_{yy}$ correct
			S <sub>35</sub> 0.01311	M1	For fully correct structure of <i>r</i>
			$r = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx}S_{yy}}} = \frac{0.01311}{\sqrt{0.01839 \times 0.02039}} = 0.677$	A1	For answer rounding to 0.68
			OR $cov(x,y) = \frac{\sum xy}{n} - \frac{1}{xy} = 600.41/10 - 11.369 \times 5.281 = 0.001311$	M1	For method for $cov(x,y)$
			rmsd(x) = $\sqrt{\frac{S_{xx}}{n}}$ = $\sqrt{(0.01839/10)}$ = $\sqrt{0.001839}$ = 0.04288	M1	For method for at least one msd or rmsd
			rmsd(y) = $\sqrt{\frac{S_{yy}}{n}}$ = $\sqrt{(0.02039/10)}$ = $\sqrt{0.002039}$ = 0.04516	A1	For at least one of $cov(x,y)$ , $msd$ or $rmsd$ correct
			cov(x,y) = 0.001311	M1	For fully correct structure of <i>r</i>
			$r = \frac{\text{cov}(x,y)}{rmsd(x)rmsd(y)} = \frac{0.001311}{0.04288 \times 0.04516} = 0.677$	A1	For answer rounding to 0.68
				[5]	Methods mixed – max M0M1A1M0A0
1	(ii)		$H_0$ : $\rho = 0$	B1	For H <sub>0</sub> , H <sub>1</sub> in symbols. Hypotheses in words must refer to
			$H_1$ : $\rho \neq 0$ (two-tailed test)		population. Do not allow alternative symbols unless clearly defined as the population correlation coefficient.
			where $\rho$ is the population correlation coefficient	B1	For defining $\rho$ . Condone omission of "population" if correct notation $\rho$ is used, but if $\rho$ is defined as the <b>sample</b> correlation coefficient then award <b>B0</b> .
			For $n = 10$ , 10% critical value = 0.5494	B1	CAO Note that critical values for a one-tailed test at the 10% level are not available in tables.

			Since 0.677 > 0.5494 the result is significant.	M1	For sensible comparison leading to a conclusion provided that $ r  < 1$ .  The comparison can be in the form of a diagram as long as it is clear and unambiguous.  Sensible comparison: e.g. $0.677 > 0.5494$ is 'sensible' whereas $0.677 > -0.5494$ is 'not sensible'.  Reversed inequality sign e.g. $0.677 < 0.5494$ etc. gets max M1 A0.
			(Thus we have sufficient evidence to) reject $H_0$	A1*	For reject $H_0$ o.e. FT their $r$ and critical value from 10% 2-tail column.
			There is sufficient evidence at the 10% level to suggest that there is correlation between times for the first and last sections.	E1dep*	For correct, non-assertive conclusion in context. Allow ' $x$ and $y$ ' for context. E0 if H <sub>0</sub> and H <sub>1</sub> not stated, reversed or mention a value other than zero for $\rho$ in H <sub>0</sub> . Do not allow 'positive correlation' or 'association'
1	(iii)		The underlying population must have a bivariate Normal	B1	Condone "bivariate Normal distribution", "underlying
	(==)		distribution.		bivariate Normal distribution", but <b>do not allow</b> "the <b>data</b>
					have a bivariate Normal distribution"
			The points in the scatter diagram should have a roughly elliptical shape.	E1	Condone 'oval' or suitable diagram
				[2]	
1	(iv)		The hypothesis test has shown that there appears to be correlation.	E1	For relevant comment relating to the test result or positive value of <i>r</i> in supporting (unless FT leads to not supporting) the commentator's suggestion. Or correlation does not imply causation. There may be a third factor. For questioning the use of the word 'must'
			However it could be that there is a third causal factor	E1	Allow any two suitable, statistically based comments.
				[2]	7 mow any two suitable, statistically based comments.
1	(v)	(A)	Yes because the critical value at the 1% level is 0.7646	B1*	B1 for 0.7646 seen
			which is larger than the test statistic	E1dep*	E1 for comment consistent with their (ii) provided $r$   < 1
				[2]	

4		(D)		Б1	XXX 12 1 . 1
1	( <b>v</b> )	( <i>B</i> )	One advantage of a 1% level is that one is less likely to reject the	E1	o.e. Wording must be clear.
			null hypothesis when it is true.		
			One disadvantage of a 1% level is that one is more likely to	E1	o.e.
			accept the null hypothesis when it is false.		
				[2]	
2	(i)		Binomial(1200,1/300)	B1	For binomial.
				B1dep	For parameters
				[2]	Allow B(1200, 1/300) and B(1200, 0.00333)
2	(ii)		Because $n$ is large and $p$ is small	E1, E1	Allow <i>n</i> is large and $np < 10$ .
					Allow "sample is large" for <i>n</i> is large and "mean $\approx$
					variance" for "p is small"
				[2]	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
2	(iii)		$\lambda = 1200 \times 1/300 = 4$	B1	For $\lambda$ FT their $p$
-	(111)			M1	For attempt to find $P(X = 1)$ using Poisson p.d.f. or tables
			(A) $P(X=1) = e^{-4} \frac{4^1}{1!} = 0.0733 (3 \text{ s.f.})$	1/11	To attempt to find $\Gamma(X=1)$ using Foisson p.u.i. of tables
			1!		
			or from tables $= 0.0916 - 0.0183 = 0.0733$	A1	Allow answers which round to 0.073 www. FT their $\lambda$ (=
					$np$ ). No FT for $\lambda = 1/300$ .
			(B) Using tables: $P(X > 4) = 1 - P(X \le 4)$	M1	For finding $1 - P(X \le 4)$
			= 1 - 0.6288 = 0.3712	A1	CAO For answers rounding to 0.371 www
				[5]	8
2	(iv)		$\mu = 80$	B1	If symbols/words used then they must be correct.
	()		$\sigma^2 = 80$	B1	Allow $\sigma^2$ rounding to 79.7 from original binomial.
					FT their $\lambda$ (= $np$ )
				[2]	
2	(v)	(A)	( 00 5 00)	B1	For correct continuity correction.
	(*)	(11)	$P(Y \ge 90) = P\left(Z \ge \frac{89.5 - 80}{\sqrt{80}}\right)$	M1	For probability using correct tail and structure (condone
			$\left(2-\sqrt{80}\right)$	1011	omission of c.c.)
					Offission of c.c.)
			$= D(7 > 1.062) = 1 \Phi(1.062)$		$\sigma^2 = 70.72 \text{ leads to } P(7 > 1.064)$
			$= P(Z > 1.062) = 1 - \Phi(1.062)$	A 1	$\sigma^2 = 79.73$ leads to $P(Z > 1.064)$
			= 1 - 0.8559 = 0.1441	A1cao	$\sigma^2 = 79.73$ leads to $1 - 0.8563 = 0.1437$ .
					Allow 0.144 www.
					NOTE 0.1441 from B(24000, 1/300) gets 0/3
				[3]	

4767	Mark Scheme	June 2012

	T		T		T 1
2	( <b>v</b> )	( <i>B</i> )	$P(Y \le k) > 0.05$		
			From tables $\Phi^{-1}(0.05) = -1.645$	B1	For ±1.645 seen
			$\frac{(k+0.5)-80}{\sqrt{80}} = -1.645$	M1	For correct equation in $k$ seen or equivalent – e.g. allow
			${\sqrt{80}} = -1.645$		$+1.645$ used if numerator reversed. FT their $\mu$ , $\sigma^2$ and z-
			νου		value. Condone omission of, or incorrect, continuity
					correction.
			$k + 0.5 = 80 - (1.645 \times \sqrt{80}) = 65.29$	A1	A1 for 65.29 or 64.79 or 65.79 ( $\sigma^2 = 79.73$ leads to 65.31 or
			k > 64.79		64.81 or 65.81) Allow 3s.f.
					,
			So least value of $k = 65$	A1	For rounding <b>64.79</b> or <b>64.81</b> up to give $k = 65$ .
					See additional notes for alternative method
				[4]	
3	(i)		( 750 – 751 4)	M1	For standardizing
			$P(X \ge 750) = P\left(Z \ge \frac{750 - 751.4}{2.5}\right)$	M1	For correct structure (M0 if continuity correction used)
			( 2.5 )		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			$= P(Z > -0.56) = \Phi(0.56) = 0.7123$	A1	CAO Allow 0.712 www
				[3]	
3	(ii)		$P(all 6 at least 750ml) = 0.7123^6$	M1	For (their answer to part (i)) <sup>6</sup>
	, ,		= 0.1306	A1	FT 3s.f.
				[2]	
3	(iii)		(25)	M1	For using Binomial(25, $p$ ) with their $p$ from part (ii)
	, ,		$P(Y=0) = \times 0.8694^{25} = 0.0302$		
			$P(Y=0) = {25 \choose 0} \times 0.8694^{25}  (= 0.0302)$		
			$P(Y=1) = {25 \choose 1} \times 0.8694^{24} \times 0.1306 \ (= 0.1135)$	M1	For correct structure of either $P(Y = 0)$ or $P(Y = 1)$ with their
			$P(Y=1) = \left  \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right  \times 0.8694^{24} \times 0.1306 \ (= 0.1135)$		p from part (ii) M0 if p and q reversed
			P(Y=0) + P(Y=1) = 0.144		
			$P(Y \ge 2) = 1 - 0.144$	M1dep	For 1 – sum of both probabilities
			=0.856	A1	CAO
				[4]	

		1			
3	(iv)		$P(Z < \frac{750 - \mu}{2.5}) = 0.02$		
			$\Phi^{-1}(0.02) = -2.054$	B1	For ±2.054 seen. Allow ±2.055
			$\frac{750 - \mu}{2.5} = -2.054$	M1	For correct equation as seen or equivalent. FT $\sigma = \sqrt{2.5}$ . M0
			2.5		if c.c. used.
			$\mu = 750 + 2.054 \times 2.5$	M1	For correctly rearranging their equation (if 750 used in numerator) for $\mu$ , FT their $z$
			= 755.1	A1 [ <b>4</b> ]	cao Condone 755 or 5 s.f. rounding to 755.1 www
3	(v)		$P(Z < \frac{750 - 751.4}{\sigma}) = 0.02$	F.1	
			$\Gamma(Z < \frac{\sigma}{\sigma}) = 0.02$		
			$\frac{750 - 751.4}{} = -2.054$	M1	For correct equation as seen or equivalent
			$\sigma$	M1	For correctly rearranging their equation (if 750 used in
			$\sigma = \frac{-1.4}{-2.054}$	1,11	numerator) for $\sigma$ unless this leads to $\sigma$ < 0
			= 0.682	A1	cao Allow answers rounding to 0.68 www
	( •)			[3] E1	
3	(vi)		Probably easier to change the mean (as reducing the standard. deviation would require a much more accurate filling process).	EI	
			However increasing the mean would result in fewer bottles being		
			filled overall and so less profit for the owners, so <b>reducing</b> the	E1	For "preferable to reduce the standard deviation" with valid
			standard deviation would be preferable to the vineyard owners.	2.1	reason.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[2]	
4	(a)	(i)	Expected frequency = $67/150 \times 57 = 25.46$	B1	For 25.46
			Contribution = $(34 - 25.46)^2 / 25.46$	M1	For valid attempt at (O-E) <sup>2</sup> /E
			= 2.8646	A1	Correct values used to give answer which rounds to 2.8646
				F.6.7	NB Answer given
				[3]	

	1	1			
4	(a)	(ii)	H <sub>0</sub> : no association between type of cake and classification of	B1	For both hypotheses in context
			person.		
			H <sub>1</sub> : some association between type of cake and classification of		
			person.		
			Test statistic $X^2 = 12.86$		
			Refer to $X_3^2$	B1	For 3 degrees of freedom
			Critical value at 1% level = 11.34	B1	CAO For cv. No FT from here if wrong/omitted
			Result is significant	B1	For significant
			There is evidence to suggest association between type of cake and	E1	For correct, non-assertive conclusion, in context.
			classification of person.		
			NB if H <sub>0</sub> H <sub>1</sub> reversed, omitted or 'correlation' mentioned, do not		
			award first B1 or final E1		
			W/WW 11100 2 1 01 111111 21	[5]	
4	(b)		$\bar{x} = 4.995$	B1	For 4.995 seen
			$H_0$ : $\mu = 5$	B1	For use of 5 in hypotheses.
			$H_1: \mu < 5$	B1	For both correct. Hypotheses in words must refer to
					population. Do not allow alternative symbols unless clearly
					defined as the population mean.
			Where $\mu$ denotes the <b>mean</b> content of the bags of flour (in the	B1	For definition of $\mu$ in context. Condone omission of
			population)		"population" if correct notation $\mu$ is used, but if $\mu$ is defined
					as the <b>sample</b> mean then award <b>B0</b> .
			4.995 - 5.0 - 0.005	M1*	must include √8
			Test statistic = $\frac{4.995 - 5.0}{0.0072 / \sqrt{8}} = \frac{-0.005}{0.002546} = -1.964$	<b>A</b> 1	FT their 🛪 Allow +1.964 only if later compared with +1.645
			·		
			Lower 5% level 1 tailed critical value of $z = -1.645$	B1*	For –1.645 No FT from here if wrong.
					Must be −1.645 unless it is clear that absolute values are
					being used.
			-1.964 < -1.645 so significant.	M1	For sensible comparison with correct c.v. leading to a
				dep*	conclusion.
			There is sufficient evidence to reject H <sub>0</sub>		
			There is sufficient evidence to suggest that the average contents	A1	For non-assertive conclusion in words and in context. No FT
			of bags is less than 5kg.		here.
					See additional notes.
				[9]	

#### ADDITIONAL NOTES REGARDING QUESTION 2 (v) B

M1 for using a trial and improvement method with N(80,80) or N(80, 79.73) to find  $P(Y \le k)$  for any k. The distribution being used needs to be made clear.

A1 for  $P(Y \le 66) = 0.0587...$  (0.0584... from  $\sigma^2 = 79.73$ ) or  $P(Y \le 65) = 0.0467...$  (0.0464... from  $\sigma^2 = 79.73$ )

A1 for both

Final A1 not available if 66 and 65 used

Or

A1 for  $P(Y \le 65.5) = 0.0524...$  (0.0521... from  $\sigma^2 = 79.73$ ) or  $P(Y \le 64.5) = 0.0415...$  (0.0412... from  $\sigma^2 = 79.73$ )

A1 for both

A1 for least value of k = 65, dependent on previous two A marks earned.

#### ADDITIONAL NOTES REGARDING QUESTION 4 (b)

#### Critical Value Method

 $5 - 1.645 \times 0.0072 \div \sqrt{8}$  gets M1\*B1\*

= 4.9958... gets A1

4.995 < 4.99581.. gets M1dep\* for sensible comparison

A1 still available for correct conclusion in words & context

### "Confidence Interval" Method

 $4.995 + 1.645 \times 0.0072 \div \sqrt{8}$  gets M1\* B1\*

= 4.9991.. gets A1

NOTE that the final M1dep\* A1 available only if 1.645 used.

5 > 4.9991... gets M1

A1 still available for correct conclusion in words & context

### **Probability Method**

Finding P(sample mean < 4.995) = 0.0248 gets M1\* A1 B1

0.0248 < 0.05\* gets M1dep\* for a sensible comparison if a conclusion is made.

A1 available for a correct conclusion in words & context.

Condone P(sample mean > 4.995) = 0.9752 for M1 but only allow A1 B1 if later compared with 0.95, at which point the final M1 and A1 are still available

### ADDITIONAL NOTE REGARDING OVER-SPECIFICATION OF ANSWERS

Over-specification by providing final answers correct to 5 or more significant figures will be penalised. When this applies, candidates may lose no more than 2 marks per question and no more than 4 marks in total. The only exception to this rule is in Question 3 part (iv) – see guidance note.